## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

FEDEX FREIGHT, INC.

Employer

and Case 09-RC-136994

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS, LOCAL 89 Alisa

## ORDER

The Employer's Request for Review of the Regional Director's Decision and Direction of Election is denied as it raises no substantial issues warranting review.<sup>1</sup>

Dated, Washington, D.C., November 21, 2014

MARK GASTON PEARCE, CHAIRMAN

HARRY I. JOHNSON, III, MEMBER

NANCY SCHIFFER, MEMBER

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Member Johnson finds the petitioned-for unit appropriate under the Board's traditional community of interest analysis in similar driver cases. See, e.g., *Home Depot USA, Inc.*, 331 NLRB 1289, 1291 (2000) (3-0 decision of Chairman Truesdale and Members Fox and Brame, finding appropriate separate unit of drivers). The Employer contends that, because the drivers perform a substantial amount of dockwork and hostling work, the appropriate unit must include dockworkers. This argument is not compelling. Although city drivers and road drivers spend approximately 6% and less than 2%, respectively, of their time in non-driving work, this figure is still significantly below the 30-40% figure in *Home Depot*. Id. at 1290. Accordingly, Member Johnson finds no need to express a view whether the Board correctly decided *Specialty Healthcare and Rehabilitation Center of Mobile*, 357 NLRB No. 83 (2011), enfd. sub nom. *Kindred Nursing Centers East, LLC v. NLRB*, 727 F.3d 552 (6th Cir. 2013), and whether the Regional Director correctly applied it here.